



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,057	03/17/2004	Pietro Marcolin	MARCOLINI1	1705
1444	7590	07/08/2008	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			BRINSON, PATRICK F	
624 NINTH STREET, NW				
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			3754	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/08/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,057	MARCOLIN, PIETRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick F. Brinson	3754	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Patrick F. Brinson. (3) _____.

(2) Anne Kornbau. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. 5,573,039 to Mang, 4,145,325 to Vassiliou et al. and 6,390,141 to Fisher et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Kornbau argued that the PFA in the Vassiliou et al. reference isn't "roughened" and that the layer onto which the polymer is applied is roughened. Fluorinated molecules need to be removed in order to present a rougher surface to be utilized in the Mang reference to adhere to other layers as is done in the present invention. Amendment to be filed for consideration. Case is under final and therefore an RCE may be filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrick F. Brinson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.